ERIC Document Resume	See Instruction Sheet	DATE OF RESUME 8/21/65	DATE RECEIVED

A. PUBLICATION DATA

1. ERIC NUMBER	2. ORIGINATOR CONTROL NO(S).	3. IDENTIFICATION NO.
ED000607	R2. p.5	

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACE BELOW

4. AUTHOR(S): N.A.

5. TITLE OF DOCUMENT ABSTRACTED:
Summary of Data on Tuition and Fees, and Admission Policies of State Universities

6. PUBLICATION TITLE: N.A.

7. EDITOR(S): N.A.

8. PLACE OF ORIGIN
ORG. University of Colorado
ST.
CITY, STATE Boulder, Colorado
TELE. EXT.
INST/LOC CODE

9. PUBLISHER OR SOURCE: University of Colorado

10. VOL.	11. PAGES	12. MO./YR.	13. TOTAL PP.
N.A.	N.A.	58	

MICROFILM COPY AVAILABLE YES ☒ NO ☐

COPYRIGHT GRANTED YES ☐ NO ☒

COPYRIGHT RELEASE FILED YES ☐ NO ☐

TYPE OF REPORT
PROPOSAL ☐
IN-PROGRESS ☐
FINAL ☒
FOLLOW-UP ☐

OTHER ERIC REFERENCES:

ERIC SATELLITE CODE

B. INDEXING DATA

14. SUBJECT AREA

15. TYPE OF ENDEAVOR: survey

16. INDEXING TERMS (6-12)

	admissions	government		
surveys	public schools	enrollment		
finances	fees			

17. ABSTRACT (MAX. 250 WORDS)

Interpretation of data gathered from questionnaire responses of 47 State institutions on tuition and fees charged full-time students and residents and nonresidents in 1 57–58, and percentage of total enrollment represented by nonresidents, nonresident admission policies, and amount of legislative and/or State concern with nonresident tuition and enrollment.

ERIC No.

18. SOURCE OF ABSTRACT

C. FUNDING DATA

19. SUPPORTING ORGANIZATION	20. DIVISION OR DEPT.	21. CONTRACT NO. / PROJECT NO.
	22. TOTAL COST OF PROJECT	
	23. DURATION OR PROJECT	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Office of Education

ERIC Form 1

4-086

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO

Office of Institutional Research

Boulder, Colorado

July, 1958

Summary of Data on Tuition and Fees, and Admission Policies of State Universities

On April 22, 1958, the University of Colorado mailed to 48 state universities a questionnaire requesting information on tuition and fee charges and student admission policies. By July 18, replies had been received from 47 of those institutions. The institutions to which the questionnaire was sent represented, in the main, member universities of the Association of State Universities. In preparing this summary of the responses, the data for the State University of New York were omitted because of its unique organization.

The universities are identified by numbers and grouped into 4 geographical areas. The institutions included in each of these 4 geographical areas are as follows:

Southern: University of Alabama, University of Arkansas, University of Delaware, University of Florida, University of Georgia, University of Kentucky, Louisiana State University, University of Maryland, University of Mississippi, University of North Carolina, University of Oklahoma, University of South Carolina, University of Tennessee, University of Texas, University of Virginia, and University of West Virginia.

Northeastern: University of Connecticut, University of Maine, University of Massachusetts, University of New Hampshire, University of New Jersey, Pennsylvania State University, University of Rhode Island, and University of Vermont.

ED000607

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE
Office of Education

This document has been reproduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions stated do not necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

Western: University of Arizona, University of California (Berkeley), University of Colorado, University of Idaho, Montana State University, University of Nevada, University of New Mexico, University of Oregon, University of Utah, University of Washington, University of Wyoming.

North Central: University of Illinois, University of Indiana, State University of Iowa, University of Kansas, University of Michigan, University of Minnesota, University of Missouri, University of North Dakota, Ohio State University, University of South Dakota, and University of Wisconsin.

Tuition and Fees

Table 1 shows the amounts of tuition and fees charged a full-time student for the academic year 1957-58, and the percentage of the total institutional enrollment for the Fall of 1957 represented by students classified as non-residents. The questionnaire requesting this information did not attempt to take into account variations that might exist among the universities in their definition of non-resident (out-of-state) students. The data on tuition and fees charged the individual student are grouped into the following categories: a. resident undergraduate; b. resident graduate; c. non-resident undergraduate; d. non-resident graduate. The institutions were requested to report the amount for a full-time student enrolling in the Arts and Sciences, if varying tuition rates were maintained for students majoring in different subject fields.

Although the questionnaire sought to differentiate between "tuition" and "fees", this summary of responses does not provide separate analysis of these two kinds of charges. Most state universities were able to indicate how much of the total amount normally charged a given category of students constituted "tuition" and how much "fees". There were, however, a number

OIR
7/58

Table 1. -- Total Amount of Academic Year Tuition and Fees Paid By Each Full-time Student, 1957-58

Institution Code Number	Resident				Non-resident				Non-residents as Percentage of Fall 1957 Enrollment	
	Under-grad.		Graduate		Under-grad.		Graduate			
	Amt.	Rank Order	Amt.	Rank Order	Amt.	Rank Order	Amt.	Rank Order	Percentage	Rank Order
SOUTHERN:										
1	168	32	168	27	432	28	432	19	23%	11
2	130	42	130	37	430	29	430	20	9	37
3	150	38	150	33	350	41	3[illegible]	30	No answer	
4	159	36	159	31	384	37	384	27	14	28
5	70	46	70	43	270	43	130	41	13	31
6	294	6	294	5	689	3	524	12	40	3
7	242	12	100	41	492	21	100	43	21	14
8	255	7	$13/sem. cr.hr.)		545	16	$13/sem. cr.hr.)		28	5
9	110	44	110	40	440	26	440	18	12	33
10	242	12	242	8	592	9	592	7	20	16
11	140	41	140	36	225	46	225	37	20	16
12	183	28	183	23	483	23	483	15	10	35
13	150	38	150	33	500	18	500	13	9	37
14	160	33	160	28	360	40	360	29	15	26
15	180	30	180	25	530	17	530	11	18	21
16	255	7	220	12	455	25	420	22	24	10
Summary:										
High	294		294		689		592		40%	
Median	164		159		447		430		18	
Low	70		70		225		100		9	
NORTHEASTERN:										
17	350	3	350	2	750	2	750	1	10%	35
18	475	1	427	1	575	10	427	21	7	41
19	319	4	319	3	654	4	654	2	17	24
20	360	2	($15/sem. cr. hr.)		850	1	($35/sem. cr.hr.)		47	1
21	179	31	120	38	479	24	240	36	2	43
22	160	33	160	28	410	32	160	39	5	42
23	312	5	312	4	612	5	612	3	25	8
24	247	11	($7.50/sem. cr. hr.)		547	15	($15/sem. cr.hr.)		14	28
Summary:										
High	475		427		850		750		47%	
Median	315		315		593		519		12	
Low	160		120		410		160		2	
WESTERN:										
25	183	28	183	23	408	34	408	26	9%	37
26	215	18	215	14	365	39	365	28	17	24
27	213	19	213	15	438	27	213	38	No answer	
28	119	43	119	39	369	38	119	42	20	16
29	100	45	100	41	300	42	300	32	9	37
30	200	23	170	26	500	18	470	17	20	16
31	249	10	230	10	429	30	410	24	18	21
32	156	37	156	32	606	6	606	4	25	8
33	210	21	210	17	410	32	410	24	18	21
34	211	20	205	19	421	31	415	23	20	16
35	196	26	212	16	562	11	578	8	45	2
Summary:										
High	249		230		606		606		45%	
Median	200		205		421		410		19	
Low	100		100		300		119		9	

Table 1. -- Total Amount of Academic Year Tuition and Fees Paid By Each Full-time Student, 1957-58

Institution Code Number	Resident				Non-resident				Non-residents as Percentage of Fall 1957 Enrollment	
	Under-grad.		Graduate		Under-grad		Graduate			
	Amt.	Rank Order	Amt.	Rank Order	Amt.	Rank Order	Amt.	Rank Order	Percentage	Rank Order
NORTH CENTRAL:										
36	146	40	146	35	266	45	266	34	23	11
37	195	27	195	22	267	44	267	33	28	5
38	206	22	206	18	396	35	306	31	21	14
39	219	17	219	13	486	22	486	14	13	31
40	200	23	200	20	550	13	550	9	27	7
41	250	9	250	7	600	7	600	5	33	4
42	200	23	200	20	550	13	550	9	15	26
43	231	14	231	9	561	12	479	16	14	28
44	225	15	225	11	600	7	600	5	No answer	
45	220	16	260	6	500	18	260	35	22	13
46	160	33	160	28	385	36	160	39	11	34
Summary:										
High	250		260		600		600		33	
Median	206		206		500		479		21	
Low	146		146		266		160		11	
All Institutions:										
High	475		427		850		750		47	
Median	200		198		481		420		18	
Low	70		70		225		100		2	

of universities that designated the amount charged as being only "tuition" or only "fees". Also even among the universities that did differentiate between "tuition" and "fees", the kinds of items included in the latter category vary. These points are here mentioned by way of caution against interpreting the amounts shown as "tuition and fees" as including the same items at each of the state universities. The major significance of the amounts, from the standpoint of this study, is that they reportedly represent what the state universities normally charge a full-time student.

The amount of tuition and fees charged by each institution is ranked to show its relative position with respect to the amounts charged by other state universities. The highest tuition and fee charge is given the rank order of 1.

Several institutions reported their tuition and fees on a credit hour basis. For the undergraduate student, the academic year tuition and fee charge was determined by multiplying the semester credit hour charge by 30 (or quarter credit hour charge by 45), which approximates the usual academic year course load of a full-time student. No attempt was made to compute the academic year charge for graduate students for universities that reported graduate student tuition and fee charges on a credit hour basis. No rank order has been assigned to semester credit hour charges for graduate students.

The median tuition and fee charge for the state universities shown on this tabulation (excluding a few for which only semester credit hour charges are shown) are as follows:

Undergraduate

a. Resident $200

b. Non-resident $481

Graduate

a. Resident $198

b. Non-resident $420

Student tuition and fee charges tend to be substantially higher among Northeastern state universities than among state universities in other parts of the country. In every region, there appears to be a wide range among the state universities in the amount of tuition and fees charged.

The vast majority of institutions charge both graduate and undergraduate resident students the same tuition and fees. Eight state universities reported different charges for resident graduate and resident undergraduate students. In most instances where this differential exists, the graduate student is charged less.

Without exception the state universities covered in this report maintain a substantial differential in the amount of tuition and fees charged resident undergraduates and non-resident (out-of-state) undergraduate students.

Approximately a third of the state universities maintain substantially lower charges for non-resident graduate students as compared to the non-resident undergraduates. In most universities where this differential exists, the amount of the non-resident graduate student charge is more than the amount charged the resident graduate but less than the amount charged the non-resident undergraduate. At 6 of the state universities, however, the non-resident graduate student charge is the same as the resident graduate student charge.

A comparison of the rank order of non-resident tuition and fee charges with the rank order of the percentage of non-resident students indicates that there is no consistent relationship between high non-resident tuition and fee charges and a high percentage of non-resident students. For example, institution No. 20 (University of Vermont) has the highest non-resident tuition and fee charge and also the highest percentage of non-resident students. But institution No. 17 (Pennsylvania State University), which has the second highest non-resident undergraduate tuition and fee charge ranks 35th in the percentage of non-resident students enrolled. It is also interesting to note that the Northeastern institutions, which have significantly higher median non-resident tuition and fee changes

than institutions in other regions for both graduate and undergraduate students, have the lowest median figure on non-residents as a percentage of total institutional enrollment.

The percentage of students classified as non-residents in the state universities ranges from a low of less than 2 per cent to a high of 47 per cent, the median figure being 18 per cent. The state universities in the North Central area reported the highest median figure; the lowest median figure was reported by the Northeastern state universities.

Table 2 shows the differential between resident and non-resident tuition and fees. The percentage difference represents the relationship between the amount of differential and the resident charge. ($\frac{\text{Amount of differential}}{\text{Resident student charge}}$ X 100 = percentage difference) The figure of 157 per cent for institution No. 1 (University of Oklahoma)means that the amount of the difference, $264, is more than one and a half times larger than the resident tuition and fee charge.

For the 46 state universities included in this study, differentials between resident and non-resident undergraduate tuition and fees range from a low of $72 to a high of $490, with a median of $267. For graduate students the differentials range from $0 to $450, with a median of $225.

Table 3 shows the year that the non-resident tuition and fee charges (those effective in the Fall of 1957) went into effect, and the institutions proposing increases for the Fall of 1958. Thirty-four of the 46 institutions shown in this tabulation had changed their non-resident tuition and fees since 1955. Eighteen state universities indicated that increases in the non-resident tuition and fees are being considered for the Fall of 1958.

Fifteen institutions were considering increases in resident tuition and fees for the coming Fall.

The questionnaire requested each institution to indicate which of the following most nearly represented the experience of the university with

OIR
7/58

Table 2. — Differential Between Non-Resident Tuition and Fees and Resident Tuition and Fees

Institution Code No.	Undergraduate		Graduate	
	Amount of Differential	Percentage Difference	Amount of Differential	Percentage Difference
SOUTHERN:				
1	264	157%	264	157%
2	300	231	300	231
3	200	133	200	133
4	225	142	225	142
5	200	286	60	86
6	395	134	230	78
7	250	103	0	0
8	290	114	(0	0)*
9	330	300	330	300
10	350	145	350	145
11	85	61	85	61
12	300	164	300	164
13	350	233	350	233
14	200	125	200	125
15	350	194	350	194
16	200	78	200	91
Summary:				
High	395	300%	350	300%
Median	277	144	230	142
Low	85	61	0	0
NORTHEASTERN:				
17	400	114%	400	114%
18	100	21	0	0
19	335	105	335	105
20	490	136	($20/sem.cr.hr.	133)*
21	300	168	120	100
22	250	156	0	0
23	300	96	300	96
24	300	121	($7.50/sem.cr.hr.	100)*
Summary:				
High	490	168%	400	114%
Median	300	118	210	98
Low	100	21	0	0
WESTERN:				
25	225	123	225	123
26	150	70	150	70
27	225	106	0	0
28	250	210	0	0
29	200	200	200	200
30	300	150	300	176
31	180	72	180	78
32	450	288	450	288
33	200	95	200	95
34	210	100	210	102
35	366	187	366	173
Summary:				
High	450	288%	450	288%
Median	225	123	200	102
Low	150	70	0	0

* Not included in summaries.

OIR
7/58

Table 2. -- Differential Between Non-Resident Tuition and Fees and Resident Tuition and Fees

Institution Code Number	Undergraduate		Graduate	
	Amount of Differential	Percentage Difference	Amount of Differential	Percentage Difference
NORTH CENTRAL:				
36	120	82%	120	82%
37	72	37	72	37
38	190	92	100	49
39	267	122	267	122
40	350	175	350	175
41	350	140	350	140
42	350	175	350	175
43	330	143	248	107
44	375	167	375	167
45	280	127	0	0
46	225	141	0	0
Summary:				
High	375	175%	375	175%
Median	280	140	248	107
Low	72	37	0	0
All Institutions:				
High	490	300%	450	300%
Median	267	135	225	107
Low	72	21	0	0

OIR
7/58

Table 3.-- Year Present Non-Resident Tuition Charges Went Into Effect, and Number of Institutions Contemplating Increase in 1958-59.

Institution Code Number	Period Present Non-resident Tuition Charges Went Into Effect						Institutions Proposing Increase for Fall 1958	
	1942 or before	1943-1945	1946-1948	1949-1951	1952-1954	1955-1957	Resident	Non-Resident
SOUTHERN:								
1						1955	yes	yes
2						1957	no	no
3					1952		no	no
4		1945					yes	yes
5				1949			yes	Unknown
6						1957	no	no
7						1955	yes	yes
8					1953		no	Probably
9						1955	yes	yes
10						1955	no	no
11		1945					no	no
12			1947				no	no
13			1948				no	no
14						1957	no	no
15						1956	yes	yes
16			1947				no	no
NORTHEASTERN:								
17						1957	no	no
18						1957	no	no
19						1957	no	yes
20						1957	no	no
21			1947				no	yes
22				1950			no	yes
23						1955	no	yes
24						1955	no	no
WESTERN:								
25						1955	yes	yes
26						1956	yes	yes
27						1957	no	no
28						1957	no	no
29		1944					yes	yes
30						1957	no	no
31						1956	no	no
32						1957	yes	no
33				1951			yes	yes
34						1956	no	no
35						1957	yes	yes
NORTH CENTRAL:								
36						1957	no	no
37						1957	yes	yes
38						1957	no	no
39						1957	no	yes
40						1957	no	no
41						1957	no	no
42						1957	no	no
43						1957	no	no
44						1955	yes	yes
45						1957	no	no
46						1956	yes	yes

increases in tuition of non-resident students:

(a) Associated with decline in non-resident enrollment

(b) No discernible effect in discouraging non-residents

(c) Stimulates enrollment of non-residents

(d) Other experience

Although some of the institutions had difficulty in expressing their opinions in exactly these categories, the most common experience appears to be that increases in non-resident tuition have "no discernible effect in discouraging non-residents". No institution checked either (a) or (c).

Non-resident Student Admission Policy

Each of the institutions participating in this study was requested to indicate the "Current Policy" and "Probable Future Policy" for the admission of non-resident undergraduate and non-resident graduate students. In order to facilitate the response, several different kinds of policies were listed and the institutions were requested to indicate the policy which most closely approximated their own. These policy choices are shown in Table 4 for non-resident undergraduate students, and in Table 5 for non-resident graduate students.

Policy (d), "Take all qualified non-resident undergraduates as long as the institution has room for them", was checked by 25 institutions as most nearly representing their current policy. Fourteen institutions marked (a), "Limit non-resident undergraduate population to approximately current percentage of total university enrollment".

Eleven institutions reported that a change in policy on the admission of non-resident undergraduate students is being contemplated. In the case of 7 of these institutions, the proposed change would result in a more

OIR
7/58

Table 4. -- Non-resident Undergraduate Student Admission Policy

(a) Limit non-resident undergraduate population to approximately current percentage of total university enrollment.

(b) Limit to approximately current number enrolled, which would mean that the non-resident undergraduate population would constitute a decreasing percentage of university total enrollment.

(c) Reduce number of non-resident undergraduate students below the number presently enrolled.

(d) Take all qualified non-resident undergraduates as long as the institution has room for them.

(e) Other.

Institution Code Number	Current Policy					Probable Future Policy				
	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.
SOUTHERN:										
1				X					X	
2				X					X	
3	X					X				
4				X					X	
5				X						X
6	X									X *
7		X				X				
8	X						X			
9				X					X	
10	X					X				
11				X					X	
12	X					X				
13				X					X	
14	X					X				
15				X					X	
16	X					X				
NORTHEASTERN:										
17	X					X				
18	X					X				
19	X								X	
20				X					X	
21					None					X **
22		X					X			
23			X					X		
24				X					X	
WESTERN:										
25				X					X	
26					X					X
27				X					X	
28	X						X			
29	X									X
30				X					X	
31				X					X	
32				X					X	
33				X					X	
34				X						X
35		X					X			

* Reduce to 33% of total enrollment.
** Admit up to 5% of total enrollment.

Table 4.— Non-resident Undergraduate Student Admission Policy

Institution Code Number	Current Policy					Probable Future Policy				
	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.
NORTH CENTRAL										
36				X					X	
37				X					X	
38				X			X			
39	X					X				
40				X					X	
41				X					X	
42				X					X	
43			X					X		
44	X					X				
45				X		X				
46				X					X	
Total Responses	14	3	2	25	2	11	5	2	22	6

OIR
7/58

Table 5.-- Non-resident Graduate Student Admission Policy

(a) Follow a quota system restricting enrollment of non-resident undergraduates, but set no restriction on admission of qualified non-resident graduate students.

(b) Follow a quota system restricting admission of non-resident students, but in filling this quota, preference is given to graduate level students.

(c) Follow a quota system restricting admission of non-resident students and undergraduates, with preference given to neither group.

(d) Take all non-residents who meet admission standards, regardless of whether graduates or undergraduates.

(e) Other

Institution Code Number	Current Policy					Probable Future Policy				
	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.
SOUTHERN:										
1				X					X	
2				X					X	
3	X					X				
4				X					X	
5				X					X	
6					No Restrict.					33% of Total
7					No Restrict.					Restrict by dept's
8					X					X
9				X					X	
10	X					X				
11					Standard exam.					Standard exam.
12	X					X				
13				X					X	
14	X					X				
15				X					X	
16	X					X				
NORTHEASTERN:										
17	X					X				
18	X					X				
19				X					X	
20	X					X				
21	X					X				
22	X					X				
23	X					X				
24	X							X		
WESTERN:										
25				X					X	
26					X					X
27				X					X	
28	X					X				
29	X					X				
30				X					X	
31				X					X	
32				X					X	
33				X					X	
34				X			X			
35			X				X			

OIR
7/58

Table 5.— Non-resident Graduate Student Admission Policy

Institution Code Number	Current Policy					Probable Future Policy				
	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.	a.	b.	c.	d.	e.
NORTH CENTRAL:										
36				X					X	
37				X					X	
38				X			X			
39					X					X
40				X					X	
41				X					X	
42				X					X	
43	X					X				
44	X					X				
45				X					X	
46				X					X	
Total Responses	16	0	1	23	6	15	3	1	21	6

restrictive non-resident undergraduate student admission policy.

Table 5 summarizes the responses on non-resident graduate student admission policy. Twenty-three of the 46 state universities included in this report checked (d), "Take all non-residents who meet admission standards, regardless of whether graduates or undergraduates", as most closely approximating their current non-resident graduate student admission policy; and 16 universities checked (a), "Follow a quota system restricting enrollment of non-resident undergraduates, but set no restriction on admission of qualified non-resident graduate students". Three of the 4 institutions reporting a probable change in current policy indicated that a preference may be given to non-resident graduates in filling the quota of non-resident students.

Table 6 summarizes the responses to the question--"Does your institution require higher admission standards for non-resident entering freshmen than for resident entering freshmen?" Forty institutions responded "Yes".

Table 7 tabulates the responses to the questions: (a) "Is your institution currently being urged, or has it been urged, by either legislators or state officials to raise non-resident tuition?"; and (b) "Is your institution currently being urged, or has it been urged, by either legislators or state officials to restrict enrollment of non-resident students?" Nineteen of the state universities indicated that they have been urged by legislators and/or state officials to raise non-resident tuition, and 7 to restrict enrollment of non-resident students. The majority of state universities are apparently free of this type of pressure.

OIR
7/58

Table 6.-- Admission Standards for Non-Resident Entering Freshmen

Institution Code Number	Does your institution require higher admission standards for non-resident entering freshmen than for resident entering freshmen?	
	Yes	No
SOUTHERN:		
1		X
2	X	
3		X
4	X	
5	X	
6	X	
7	X	
8	X	
9	X	
10	X	
11	(No Answer)	
12	X	
13	X	
14	X	
15	X	
16	X	
NORTHEASTERN:		
17	X	
18		X
19		X
20	X	
21	X *	
22	X	
23	X	
24	X	
WESTERN:		
25	X	
26	X	
27	X	
28	X	
29	X	
30	X	
31	X	
32	X	
33	X	
34	X	
35	X	
NORTH CENTRAL:		
36	X	
37	X	
38	X	
39	X	
40	X	
41		X
42	X	
43	X	
44	X	
45	X	
46	X	
Total Responses	40	5

* "Haven't been accepting - expect high selectivity in taking 5% this fall".

OIR
7/58

Table 7.-- Concern of Legislators and/or State Officials with Non-Resident Tuition and Enrollment

Institution Code Number	Is your institution currently being urged, or has it been urged, by either legislators or state officials to raise non-resident tuition?		To restrict enrollment of non-resident students?	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
SOUTHERN:				
1	X			X
2	X			X
3		X		X
4	X			X
5	X		X	
6		X	X	
7	X (Some)		X (Little)	
8		X		X
9		X		X
10		X		X
11	(No answer)		(No answer)	
12		X		X
13		X		X
14		X		X
15		X		X
16		X		X
NORTHEASTERN:				
17	X		(Perennial question-no insistence)	
18		X		X
19		X		X
20		X		X
21	X		X	
22	X			X
23	X		X	
24		X		X
WESTERN:				
25	X			X
26		X		X
27	X			X
28	X (Some)			X
29		X		X
30		X		X
31		X		X
32		X		X
33		X		X
34		X		X
35	X		X	
NORTH CENTRAL				
36	X			X
37	X			X
38	X			X
39	X			X
40		X		X
41		X		X
42		X		X
43	X		X	
44		X		X
45	X			X
46		X		X
Total Responses	19	26	7	38